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CHARGE TO THE NSABB

- Conduct a series of regional meetings to solicit feedback from stakeholders about their experiences implementing the institutional DURC policy.

- The NSABB Working Group will take lead on planning the first stakeholder meeting by:
  - Identifying a meeting location
  - Developing the meeting format and agenda
  - Identifying meeting participants/panelists
  - Leading discussions at the meeting
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APPROACH TO STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT PLANNING

- Discuss overall vision and strategy for the stakeholder engagement effort

- Consider factors important for identifying/selecting:
  - Meeting location/venue
  - Meeting participants
  - Meeting format
  - Key topics to be addressed at stakeholder meetings
POTENTIAL ENGAGEMENT STRATEGIES

- Stand alone regional meeting in partnership with a hosting university or research institute
- Panel session as part of the regular program at scientific meetings/conferences in partnership with scientific societies, e.g. American Society for Microbiology, American Society for Virology
- Stand alone meeting co-located with and occurring the day before or after a scientific meeting/conference
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions to Consider</th>
<th>Rationale, Pros, &amp; Cons to Consider</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Coast</td>
<td>Does the venue conduct research subject to the institutional DURC policy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest</td>
<td>What is the proximity of the venue to universities, medical schools, research institutions, and private companies that conduct research subject to the policy?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>Does the venue have a Regional Biocontainment Lab?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain</td>
<td>Is the venue located in a city with a major hub airport for ease of travel?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Coast</td>
<td>Has the venue (or venue stakeholders) been involved in past USG engagement efforts?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Are any NSABB members affiliated with the venue?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entity</td>
<td>Responsibilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Principal Investigator (PI)</td>
<td>• Identify research for IRE review, train personnel, continuously monitor research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutional Review Entity (IRE)</td>
<td>• Review research to determine if the research is DURC and if so, develop a draft risk mitigation plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Institutional Contact for Dual Use Research (ICDUR) | • Serve as the point of contact for questions about the DURC policy  
  • Serve as the liaison between the institution and USG, as needed |
| Institution                                | • Establish policies and processes for administering the institutional DURC policy  
  • Report outcomes of DURC review to funding agencies, as required |
| Funding Agency                              | • Assist institutions in complying with institutional DURC policy  
  • Work with institutions to finalize risk mitigation plans |
## POTENTIAL MEETING FORMATS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Lecture/Plenary                | • Presentation to audience by a single speaker  
• Questions and discussion after presentation |
| Breakout & Report Back         | • Divide audience into smaller groups  
• Each group considers topics independently  
• Groups report out and facilitate wider discussion on topics |
| Panel Presentation & Discussion| • Group of panelists from multiple institutions discuss specific topic  
• Panelists could represent various roles/responsibilities (e.g., PI, IRE member, ICDUR, etc.)  
• Discussion with audience after panel |
| Open Forum for Questions & Comments | • Participants ask questions and provide feedback on the policy  
• Questions could be posted in advance to facilitate audience participation through prepared comments. |
POTENTIAL TOPICS TO EXPLORE AT STAKEHOLDER MEETINGS

- Best practices and common challenges regarding the policy
- Identifying DURC/applying the definition of DURC
- Administrative burden associated with implementing the policy
- Development and implementation of risk mitigation plans
- Developing training and guidance materials/programs for IREs, PIs, and staff
- Perceived strengths and limitations of the policy
Engagement strategy options:

- Stand alone meeting in partnership with research institution
- Panel session at scientific meeting/conference in partnership with scientific society
- Stand alone meeting co-located with scientific meeting/conference

Approaches to identifying meeting locations/venues, participants, and format

Key topics to be explored at stakeholder meetings