NSF Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) & Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR) Programs

NSF

Grace Wang

Industrial Innovation and Partnerships Directorate for Engineering National Science Foundation

NSF SBIR/STTR Innovation Model



IA = Innovation Accelerator



Funding Mechanism: Grants

- > NSF is not the final customer
- > NSF SBIR/STTR programs are not for procurement purposes
- The programs strongly focus on technology commercialization



Review Criteria

Intellectual Merit Broader/Commercial Impacts

Sound technical plan and innovative concept

Well-qualified technical AND business team

Leads to a market-viable product/process/service that has significant market potential



Phase II Commercialization Plan

- > Market opportunity
- > Company/team
- > Product/technology and competition
- Financing and revenue model



Funding Criteria

- > High-risk, high-payback innovations
- > High commercialization potential is a must





How Do We Make Award Decisions?

Peer-review and due-diligence process





Step 1: Panels and Panelists

- Program Directors group proposals into panels based on technical areas
- Select panelists
 - Technical reviewers
 - Technical expertise/research interests
 - ✓ Industrial experience
 - Diversity
 - Commercial reviewers
 - Business experience
 - Market knowledge
 - Diversity



Step 2: Individual Peer Reviews

Panelists provide individual reviews before the panel meeting





Step 3: Panel Meeting

Panel discussions

Phase II panels

✓ 3 technical reviewers + 3 business reviewers

Equal emphasis on technical and business merits





- Requests the PI to address the panel's concerns
- Requests more information about the company's revenue history, IP status, business model, etc.
- Requests administrative information





Step 5: Financial Viability Evaluation

All Phase II companies are financially audited before awards are made





An Excellent Team of Program Directors

Strong technical expertise that aligns with their portfolios Extensive business/industry background

- 6/7 were former founders of startups
- > 6/7 have extensive research experience
- > 4/7 have successful fundraising/investment experience
- 4/7 previously worked for large corporations





Actively Engage Technology-Based Small Business Community

- > University spin-offs
 - Academic conferences
 - NSF conferences/workshops
 - Large technology-based incubators
- > Other technology-based startups
 - Industry network
 - Investor network (VC firms, angels and venture fairs)
 - Trade shows



Assistance in Proposal Preparation

- Before proposal submission
 - Encourage all applicants to send an executive summary to the cognizant Program Officer
- For proposal preparation
 - Step-by-step instructions on proposal submission
 - Line-by-line budget instructions
 - Transparent review and funding criteria
 - Comprehensive instructions during Phase I Grantees
 Conference for Phase II preparation
- After declination

Provide constructive feedback



Commercialization Driven

Phase IIB

Incentivize fundraising from private sectors

TECP (Technology Enhancement for Commercial Partnerships)

Incentivize collaboration with strategic customers

Entrepreneurial training (Grantees Conferences)

- Stimulate entrepreneurial potential
- Apply in real-world settings



Outcome Evaluation

External evaluation

National Academy of Sciences

Internal evaluation

An expert who evaluates graduated grantees at 3,
 5 and 8 years anniversaries



Backup



Review Criteria 1: Intellectual Merit

- Is the proposed plan a sound approach for establishing technical and commercial feasibility?
- To what extent does the proposal suggest and explore unique or ingenious concepts or applications?
- How well qualified is the team (the PI, other key staff, consultants, and subawardees) to conduct the proposed activity?
- Is there sufficient access to resources (materials, supplies, analytical services, equipment, facilities, etc.)?
- Does the proposal reflect state-of-the-art in the major research activities proposed? (Are advancements in stateof-the-art likely?)
- As a result of Phase I, did the firm succeed in providing a solid foundation for the proposed Phase II activity?



Review Criteria 2: Broader/Commercial Impacts

- What may be the commercial and societal benefits of the proposed activity?
- Does the proposal lead to enabling technologies (instrumentation, software, etc.) for further discoveries?
- Does the outcome of the proposed activity lead to a marketable product or process?
- Evaluate the competitive advantage of this technology vs. alternate technologies that can meet the same market needs.
- How well is the proposed activity positioned to attract funding from non-SBIR sources once the SBIR project ends?
- Can the product or process developed in the project advance NSF's goals in research and education?
- Does the proposed activity broaden the participation of underrepresented groups (e.g. gender, ethnicity, disability, geography, etc)?
- Has the proposing firm successfully commercialized SBIR/STTR supported technology where prior awards have been made?