Ethical and Policy Issues in Selecting Oversight Frameworks for Gain-of-Function Studies

> Eric M. Meslin, Ph.D., FCAHS Indiana University Center for Bioethics

## Research Governance

- *Governance*. The broad range of regulations, principles, standards of good practice that exist to promote a particular policy end:
  - ban/prohibit
  - permit/enable
  - promote/encourage
- *Research governance*: those instruments that can:
  - enable research to occur (researchers need to know the rules)
  - enable oversight, monitoring and assurance of compliance with accepted requirement (sponsors, regulators, society want assurance the rules are followed)

# Goals and Types of Governance

- Goal: Protection of human subjects
  - All types of clinical trials, surveys, genomic studies, public health interventions, comparative effectiveness studies...
- Types
  - Institutional Review Boards
  - Data Safety Monitoring Boards
  - Biosafety committees
  - Privacy committees
  - rDNA Advisory Committee
- Source/Framework
  - The Belmont Report; 45 CFR 46; 21 CFR 50/51; Helsinki; CIOMS, ICH...

### Goals and Types

- Goal: Enable high quality, benefit-maximizing research\*
  Clear rules, less misinterpretation
- Types
  - Scientific peer review through the grant review process
  - Journal peer review and publication
  - Appropriations decisions by government sponsors
  - Annual reviews by sponsors
- Source/Framework
  - Professional standards; ICMJE; appropriations/authorization language

\*vs. curiosity-driven research

## Goals and Types

- Goal: Assure public health/safety
  - Domestic and international
  - Food safety, drug safety
- Types
  - Post-marketing pharmacovigilance by clinicians, FDA, pharmaceutical companies
  - WHO Global Outbreak Alert and Response Network; International Health Regulations; Global Influenza Surveillance Network
  - rDNA advisory committee
  - Laboratory certification requirements
- Source/Framework
  - State public health law; international declarations/commitments

# Principles, Values and Norms

#### Substantive

- Non-maleficence
- Precaution
- Proportionality
- Reciprocity
- Fair benefits
- Academic freedom
- Contribution to knowledge
- Reputation, status

## Procedural

- Prior agreements
- Responsiveness
- Transparency
- Uniformity of implementation

How to Decide How to Decide (on the framework)

- **Comprehensive** framework will cover more, but will take longer to achieve (see Common Rule) story.
- Protectionist framework (protecting human subjects, protecting the public, protecting facilities) will reduce risks of harm, but will affect cost and pace of science progress
- **Binary** framework (e.g., fund or don't fund) sets a clear bar but lacks adaptive, responsive need

## Summary Points

- Incommensurability problem: different governance goals, different types of governance
- Unlike the biomedical research governance environment, where minimizing risk to human subjects is the primary governance emphasis, GoF governance emphasizes public health, social and political
- Conflict vs. confluence of interests need to acknowledged