Dual Use Research of Concern at Colorado State University

Robert Ellis, PhD

CBSP, SM, DACVM

University Director of Biosafety and Professor, Microbiology, Immunology and Pathology Colorado State University

Fort Collins, CO

Acknowledgement:

CHRISTINE JOHNSON, MS
IBC COORDINATOR

ADDED THE DURC QUESTIONS TO OUR IBC PROTOCOLS IN 2009, AND CONTINUES TO UPDATE WHEN NEEDED

CSU and **DURC**

2002 SEQUENCE OF 1918 INFLUENZA VIRUS

2005 CONSTRUCTION OF COMPLETE 1918 INFLUENZA VIRUS

2009 CSU ADDED DURC QUESTION TO PROJECT APPROVAL REQUEST FORM

2012 TWO PAPERS PUBLISHED ON GAIN OF FUNCTION OF H5N1 HPAIV

2012 USG POLICY ON DURC

2014 INSTITUTIONAL POLICY FOR DURC

2015 SEPTEMBER CSU OFFICIALLY BEGAN DURC REVIEW

What Research is Subject to the Policy?

 RESEARCH THAT DIRECTLY INVOLVES ANY OF THE FOLLOWING 15 AGENTS AND TOXINS*

□ AVIAN INFLUENZA VIRUS (HIGHLY PATHOGENIC)**
□ BACILLUS ANTHRACIS** `
■ BOTULINUM NEUROTOXIN (IN ANY QUANTITY)**
□ BURKHOLDERIA MALLEI**
□ BURKHOLDERIA PSEUDOMALLEI**
□ EBOLA VIRUS
☐ FOOT-AND-MOUTH DISEASE VIRUS
□ FRANCISELLA TULARENSIS**
□ MARBURG VIRUS
☐ RECONSTRUCTED 1918 INFLUENZA VIRUS
□ RINDER PEST VIR US
☐ TOXIN-PRODUCING STRAINS OF <i>CLOSTRIDIUM BOTULINUM**</i>
□ VARIOLA MAJOR VIRUS
□ VARIOLA MINOR VIRUS
☐ YERSINIA PESTIS**

^{*}EXCEPT ATTENUATED STRAINS OF THE AGENTS THAT ARE EXCLUDED FROM THE SELECT AGENT LIST AND INACTIVE FORMS OF BOTULINUM NEUROTOXIN

^{**}AGENTS THE INSTITUTION HAS WORKED WITH.

- **❖At CSU the "Institutional Review Entity" is our existing IBC**
 - DURC meeting is convened/adjourned prior to IBC
- Institutional Contact for Dual Use Research (ICDUR)
 - VP for Research
- **❖Institutional Review Committee**
 - Composed of at least 5 members, including persons with knowledge of US government policies and sufficient range of expertise to assess the dual use potential of research conducted at that institution
 - Define procedure for assessment and mitigation

- At CSU the "Institutional Review Entity" is our existing IBC
- We ask the PI to determine whether any of the seven experimental effects apply to their research
- •In addition: Could you envision a reasonable scenario where your research findings could be directly used by individuals with malicious intent to develop bioweapons?
 - Yes or No
- If you answered <u>Yes</u> to any of the above, please explain in the following textbox:

CSU DURC Reviews: 9/2015 - 9/2017

- As noted our official DURC review began September 9, 2015
- We have reviewed a total of 54 protocols, including renewals
 - Average 27 per year/1230 total IBC protocols = 2.2%
- One was thought to meet one of the 7 experimental effects
 - Determined not to meet the definition of DURC
 - Notified NIH OBA
- One that the sponsor determined was DURC and required a risk mitigation plan

CSU DURC Reviews: 9/2015 - 9/2017

- Total of 15 PIs approved for select agent research
- Seven PIs are approved for DURC agents/toxins
 - Those seven accounted for the 54 DURC protocols
- Is another impact on time of those on the IRE, and the IBC Administrator (added a person half-time for assistance)
- Minimal impact on Pls
- Minimal impact on IBC/IRE

Issues to Be Resolved

- Agents that have potential DURC but are not on the list?
- Gain of function (GOF) research for many agents (antimicrobial resistance)?
- Research issues similar to the australian "mouse pox" research (accidental GOF)?
- "How to" publications such as botox in the milk supply?



Questions and Discussion

