## Modeling and Biological Risk Assessments

Stephen Eubank



Uirginia Tech Virginia Bioinformatics Institute



Network Dynamics and Simulation Sciences Laboratory (NDSSL) of the Virginia Bioinformatics Institute and Department of Population Health Sciences of the Virginia-Maryland Regional College of Veterinary Medicine at Virginia Tech

National Science Advisory Board for Biosecurity panel discussion Biological Risk Assessments: Their Strengths and Limitations Bethesda



e.g. x = performing a gain-of-function experiment"change the world" = affect morbidity and mortality

- What is the change in conditional probability of morbidity & mortality with and without x? How it affects decisions is beyond the scope of modeling.
- Hope we don't need to know distributions separately, just their difference. Notwithstanding Kahneman ...
- Difference is due to things that can only happen if x obtains.

# What can only happen if x?



#### • Bad Things: costs

- Worst case: "... and then an asteroid hits the earth"
- Maximum reasonably foreseeable event, prudent person rule
- Breach of containment
  - bad actor, accident, poor procedures, natural disaster, publication
- Good Things: benefits
  - Less morbidity and mortality doesn't happen by itself
  - Better models for situation assessment and forecasts
    - $\bullet~$  prepare / plan
    - target surveillance
    - adapt to current situation

## Modeling emerging infectious disease



#### • Output

- morbidity & mortality (quantifying both risks and benefits)
- impact of control measures
- sensitivity analyses

## • Input

- reservoir / vector / ecology (species, prevalence)
- population susceptibility profile (by demography)
- route of exposure (droplet, fomite, airborne, fluids)
- transmission rate (by demography, interaction)
- case ascertainment (healthcare-seeking, test sensitivity/specificity)
- serial interval, inter-generation time
- ID50, LD50
- risk of severe illness (by demography)
- social response to outbreak & control measures



## • Output

#### NEIDL summary for Ebola

one or more transmissions of EBOV following a needlestick event would be expected to occur between once in 550 years and once in 18,000 years, ... frequency category B or C. ... 10 or more public infections would be expected to occur between once in 1,900 years and once in 76,000 years. ... 100 or more ... much less likely than smaller outbreaks, ... once in 110,000 years to less than once in 10 million years.

- Input
  - $\bullet~$  literature + expert opinion gave credible parameter ranges
  - $p(consequences) = \sum_{threats} p(consequences|threat)p(threat)$ scenario construction gave credible probabilities for threats





- How would x improve models?
  - planning: emerging disease can't be parameterized, but results could concentrate credible ranges
  - better estimates of p(threat) as a function of time and place permit targeted surveillance vs e.g. shotgun sequencing
  - adapt to current situation: improve the rapeutic / vaccine escape modeling
- Could benefits be realized without x? Not all benefits accrue only from doing this experiment
  - there may be alternative, lower-cost solutions.



### The Precautionary Principle

First, do no harm:

In the absence of scientific consensus that an action is not harmful, the burden of proof that it is not harmful falls on those taking an action.

The catch:

- inaction can lead to similar harm
- action is prerequisite for scientific consensus



• NRC advice to the NEIDL review:

qualitative analyses ... [should] be prepared first. Quantitative analysis should ... supplement the qualitative approach for pathogens and release scenarios for which there appear to be potentially significant risk and where there are sufficient data to support the analyses.

- Compare lab escape rate to similar gain-of-function evolution rate
- Model possible benefit

# Concluding remarks



- Modeling can help estimate costs and benefits of decisions.
  - Risk assessment is not cheap.
  - Lessons learned:
    - Risk assessment should be performed by a disinterested party.
    - The cost of risk assessment should be factored into the research, not be left as an externality.
- If gain-of-function experiments proceed,
  - prioritize those that best inform risk models
  - monitor risk estimates
  - be prepared to stop early