# Session VIII. Research Investigator Perspectives on Implementation of the Institutional DURC Policy

#### **Christopher Ehrhardt**

Department of Forensic Science, Virginia Commonwealth University



#### Research Efforts

- Environmental microbiology of human pathogens and bioterrorism agents; microbial forensics
- At VCU, attenuated strains *Yersinia pestis*, *Bacillus anthracis*
- Joint project with University of Virginia Select Agent Facility with *Y. pestis* (BSL3)



#### **DURC** Review

- Consider DURC implications during proposal preparation/submission
  - Funding agencies
  - VCU IRE notification
- During the project if the scope of work changes
- VCU IRE review completed, UVA/VCU review BSL3 experiments pending



### Experiences and Challenges

• IRE review process clear/straightforward. Feedback from outside entities, project stakeholders.

• Integrated with other internal reviews (export control, biosafety). Minimal extra delays.

 Challenges communicating context of work; benefits and risks



## Experiences and Challenges

• Engage lab members on dual use research issues

• Discussions at departmental and university level



## **DURC** Implementation

- DURC considerations have in some ways altered approach to research
  - Proposal planning

Modify experimental design based on risks/benefits



### Lessons Learned

• Early discussions with IRE on goals and scope of research

Feedback during the project

Integration with other IRE assessments

